arXiv:1704.06434v1 [math.SP] 21 Apr 2017

CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONAL CALCULUS FOR QUATERNIONIC NORMAL OPERATORS

G. RAMESH and P. SANTHOSH KUMAR

Abstract. In this article we give an approach to continuous functional calculus for right linear densely defined closed operators defined in a quaternionic Hilbert space. As a consequence, we obtain the spectral theorem for normal operator (not necessarily bounded) in quaternionic Hilbert spaces.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In this we discuss the continuous functional calculus for normal operator (need not be bounded) in quaternionic Hilbert spaces. Several authors discussed the significance of functional calculus in quaternionic Hilbert space (See [1, 7, 8, 9, 15] for details). Gentili and Struppa introduced the concept of slice regular functions of quaternion variable (See [11, 12] for details), which are the appropriate generalization of standard holomorphic functions. In [13], the theory of slice hyperholomorphic functions, related S- functional calculus and Riesz-Dunford functional calculus for bounded operators are studied, where as the S-functional calculus for closed operators can be found in [10]. In [5], the authors discussed the H^∞- functional calculus for n- tuple of non - commuting quaternionic operators.

In this article we study continuous functional calculus for densely defined closed quaternionic normal operators. First we define functional calculus for a subclass of continuous functions by using the classical functional calculus (Refer [16, 17] for details), then we extend the same to the continuous functions.

The spectral theorem that deals with the integral representation of a quaternionic normal operator is presented by Viswanath in [21]. The author proved the existence of spectral measure through the symplectic image and as a consequence, the author obtained the Cartesian decomposition of a normal operator in a quaternionic Hilbert space. An approach using real Banach algebra techniques, S. H. Kulkarni and Sushama Agarwal [2] proved the spectral theorem for normal operators on real Hilbert spaces and deduced the quaternionic version from this.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47S10, 47B15, 35P05.

Key words and phrases. slice complex plane, quaternionic Hilbert space, right linear operator, densely defined operator, closed operator, normal operator, spectral measure, spectral theorem, functional calculus.

The spectral theorem for quaternionic unitary operators is proved in [20]. The same result was obtained by Alpay et al. using the notion of spherical spectrum and the quaternionic version of the Herglotz theorem [3]. Later this result was generalized to the case of unbounded normal operators by Alpay et al. in [4]. A similar result can also be found in [14].

We organize this article in three sections. In the first section, we give introduction to the ring of quaternions, quaternionic Hilbert spaces and recall some of the basic results which we need for our purpose.

In the second section, we give an approach to continuous functional calculus.

In the final section, we establish integral representation of functions of normal operator. As a consequence, we obtain integral representation of a normal operator.

Quaternions: Let i, j, k be three vectors that satisfy $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1 = i \cdot j \cdot k$. Let $\mathbb{H} = \{q = q_0 + q_1 i + q_2 j + q_3 k : q_\ell \in \mathbb{R},\ \ell = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ denote the division ring (skew field) of all real quaternions. Let $q \in \mathbb{H}$. Then the real part of q, $\mathrm{re}(q) = q_0$ and the imaginary part of q, $\mathrm{im}(q) = q_1 i + q_2 j + q_3 k$. The conjugate of q is denoted by $\overline{q}$ and it is defined by

$$\overline{q} = q_0 - q_1 i - q_2 j - q_3 k.$$

The modulus of q is $|q|^2 = \sum_{\ell=0}^{3} q_\ell^2$. If $0 \neq q \in \mathbb{H}$, then the inverse of q is given by $q^{-1} = \dfrac{\overline{q}}{|q|^2}$. The real and imaginary parts of $\mathbb{H}$ are given by

$$\mathrm{Re}(\mathbb{H}) = \{q \in \mathbb{H} : q = \overline{q}\} \text{ and } \mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{H}) = \{q \in \mathbb{H} : q = -\overline{q}\},$$

respectively. The set $\mathbb{S} := \{q \in \mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{H}) : |q| = 1\}$ is the unit sphere in $\mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{H})$. For each $m \in \mathbb{S}$, define the slice complex plane, $\mathbb{C}_m := \{\alpha + m\beta\ ;\ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}\}$, which is real subalgebra of $\mathbb{H}$. We denote the upper half plane of $\mathbb{C}_m$ by $\mathbb{C}_m^+ := \{\alpha + m\beta \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \geq 0\}$.

For every $m \in \mathbb{S}$, the real algebra $\mathbb{C}_m$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ through the map $\alpha + m\beta \mapsto \alpha + i\beta$. So, all the results on complex Hilbert spaces holds true on $\mathbb{C}_m$- Hilbert spaces.

Here we list out some of the properties of quaternions (See [13] for details), which we need later.

(1) For $p, q \in \mathbb{H}$, $|p.q| = |p|.|q|$ and $|\overline{p}| = |p|$.
(2) Define $p \sim q$ if and only if $p = s^{-1}qs$, for some $0 \neq s \in \mathbb{H}$. Then '$\sim$' is an equivalence relation on H.
(3) The equivalence class of $q \in \mathbb{H}$ is $[q] := \{p \in \mathbb{H} : p \sim q\}$, equivalently, $[q] = \{p \in \mathbb{H} : \mathrm{Re}(p) = \mathrm{Re}(q) \text{ and } |\mathrm{Im}(p)| = |\mathrm{Im}(q)|\}$.
(4) For $m \neq \pm n \in \mathbb{S}$, we can see that $\mathbb{C}_m \cap \mathbb{C}_n = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H} = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{S}} \mathbb{C}_m$.
(5) Let $q \in \mathbb{H}$. Then, $q \in \mathbb{C}_m$ if and only if $q.\lambda = \lambda.q$, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_m$.

Definition 1.1. [13, Equation 5.19] *Let $m \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be a subset of $\mathbb{C}_m$. Then the circularization of $\mathcal{K}$ is denoted by $\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}$ and it is given by*

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{K}} := \{\alpha + j\beta \colon \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha + m\beta \in \mathcal{K}, j \in \mathbb{S}\}.$$

Definition 1.2. *Let H be a right $\mathbb{H}-$ module with a map $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle : H \times H \to \mathbb{H}$ satisfying the following three properties:*

(1) If $x \in H$, then $\langle x|x\rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle x|x\rangle = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$
(2) $\langle x|yp + zq\rangle = \langle x|y\rangle\, p + \langle x|z\rangle\, q$, if $x, y, z \in H$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{H}$
(3) $\langle x|y\rangle = \overline{\langle y|x\rangle}$.

Define $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x|x\rangle}$, for every $x \in H$. Then $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on H. If the normed space $(H, \|\cdot\|)$ is complete, then we call H, a right quaternionic Hilbert space.

Note 1.3. *Throughout this article H denotes a right quaternionic Hilbert space. If $x, y \in H$, then the following polarization identity (see* [13, Proposition 2.2] *for details) holds:*

$$4\,\langle x|y\rangle = \sum_{l=1,i,j,k} (\|xl + y\|^2 - \|xl - y\|^2)l. \tag{1}$$

Here we give some examples of quaternionic Hilbert spaces.

(1) Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and define

$$\mathbb{H}^n := \left\{(q_j)_{j=1}^n : q_l \in \mathbb{H}, l \in \{1, 2 \cdots n\}\right\}.$$

Then $\mathbb{H}^n$ is a quaternionic Hilbert space with the following operations:

$$(q_j)_{j=1}^n.p = (q_j.p)_{j=1}^n \ \text{ for } p \in \mathbb{H} \ \text{ and}$$

$$\left\langle (p_j)_{j=1}^n | (q_j)_{j=1}^n \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{p_j} q_j.$$

(2) The space of all square summable quaternionic sequences:

$$\ell^2_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{N}) := \{(q_n)_{n=1}^\infty : q_n \in \mathbb{H}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^\infty |q_n|^2 < \infty\},$$

is a quaternionic Hilbert space with the following operations:

$$(q_j)_{j=1}^\infty.p = (q_j.p)_{j=1}^\infty \ \text{ for } p \in \mathbb{H} \ \text{ and}$$

$$\langle (p_n)_{n=1}^\infty | (q_n)_{n=1}^\infty \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \overline{p_n} q_n, \text{ for each } (p_n)_{n=1}^\infty,\ (q_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell^2_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{N}).$$

(3) Let $m \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}_m$. Let μ be a positive σ - additive measure on Ω, then

$$L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{H}; \mu) := \left\{ f \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{H} \mid \int_\Omega |f(x)|^2 d\mu(x) < \infty \right\}$$

is a right quaternionic Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle f|g\rangle = \int_{\Omega} \overline{f(x)} \cdot g(x)\, d\mu(x).$$

Definition 1.4. *Let $S \subseteq H$. The orthogonal complement of S is denoted by $S^{\perp}$ and is defined as*

$$S^{\perp} := \{x \in H \mid \langle x|y\rangle = 0, \text{ for all } y \in S\}.$$

Proposition 1.5. [13, Proposition 2.5] *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a subset of a right quaternionic Hilbert space H such that, for $z, z' \in \mathcal{N}$, $\langle z|z'\rangle = 0$ if $z \neq z'$ and $\langle z|z\rangle = 1$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) For every $x, y \in H$, it holds:

$$\langle x|y\rangle = \sum_{z\in\mathcal{N}} \langle x|z\rangle \langle z|y\rangle$$

The above series converges absolutely.

(2) For every $x \in H$, the following identity holds:

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_{z\in\mathcal{N}} |\langle z|x\rangle|^2.$$

(3) $\mathcal{N}^{\perp} = \{0\}$.

(4) $\overline{span}\, \mathcal{N} = H$.

Proposition 1.6. [13, Proposition 2.6] *Every quaternionic Hilbert space H admits a subset $\mathcal{N}$, called a Hilbert basis of H such that, for $z, z' \in \mathcal{N}$, $\langle z|z'\rangle = 0$ if $z \neq z'$ and $\langle z|z\rangle = 1$, and $\mathcal{N}$ satisfies equivalent conditions stated in Proposition 1.5.*

Furthermore, if $\mathcal{N}$ is Hilbert basis of H, then every $x \in H$ can be uniquely represented as follows:

$$x = \sum_{z\in\mathcal{N}} z \langle z|x\rangle.$$

Definition 1.7. [13, Definition 2.9] *A map $T\colon \mathcal{D}(T) \to H$ with the domain $\mathcal{D}(T)$, a right linear subspace of H, is said to be right $\mathbb{H}-$ linear operator, if*

$$T(x+y) = Tx + Ty,$$
$$T(x\cdot q) = Tx \cdot q, \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{D}(T), q \in \mathbb{H}.$$

We say that T is bounded if there exist $M > 0$ such that $\|Tx\| \leq M\|x\|$, for all $x \in H$.

If T is bounded, then

$$\|T\| := \sup\{\|Tx\| : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\},$$

is finite and it is called the norm of T.

Definition 1.8. *Let $T\colon \mathcal{D}(T) \subseteq H \to H$ be a right $\mathbb{H}$- linear operator with domain $\mathcal{D}(T)$, a right linear subspace of H. Then T is said to be densely defined, if $\mathcal{D}(T)$ is dense in H.*

The graph of an operator T is denoted by $\mathcal{G}(T)$ and it is defined by

$$\mathcal{G}(T) = \big\{(x, Tx) | x \in \mathcal{D}(T)\big\}.$$

Definition 1.9. *Let $T\colon H \to H$ be right linear with domain $\mathcal{D}(T) \subseteq H$. Then T is said to be closed, if the graph $\mathcal{G}(T)$ is closed in $H \times H$. Equivalently, if $(x_n) \subset \mathcal{D}(T)$ with $x_n \to x \in H$ and $Tx_n \to y$, then $x \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ and $Tx = y$.*

Note 1.10. *We denote the class of all bounded right $\mathbb{H}-$ linear operators on H by $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and the class of densely defined closed right $\mathbb{H}$- linear operators in H by $\mathcal{C}(H)$.*

Definition 1.11. [4, Definition 2.2] *Let $T\colon \mathcal{D}(T) \to H$ be densely defined right $\mathbb{H}$- linear operator. Then there exists a unique right $\mathbb{H}$- linear opearator denoted by T^* with the domain*

$$\mathcal{D}(T^*) = \{x \in H\colon \text{ there exists unique } z \in H \text{ with } \langle x|Ty\rangle = \langle z|y\rangle\}$$

such that $\langle x|Ty\rangle = \langle T^*x|y\rangle$, for every $y \in \mathcal{D}(T), x \in \mathcal{D}(T^*)$. This operator T^* is called the adjoint of T.

Let $S, T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ with domains $\mathcal{D}(S)$ and $\mathcal{D}(T)$, respectively. Then, S is said to be a restriction of T denoted by $S \subseteq T$, if $\mathcal{D}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(T)$ and $Sx = Tx$, for all $x \in \mathcal{D}(S)$. In this case, T is called an extension of S. We say $S = T$ if $S \subseteq T$ and $T \subseteq S$. In other words, $S = T$ if and only if $\mathcal{D}(S) = \mathcal{D}(T)$ and $Sx = Tx$, for all $x \in \mathcal{D}(T)$.

If $S, T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ with domains $\mathcal{D}(S)$ and $\mathcal{D}(T)$, respectively, then

$$\mathcal{D}(ST) = \big\{x \in \mathcal{D}(T) : Tx \in \mathcal{D}(S)\big\}$$

and $(ST)x = S(Tx)$, for all $x \in \mathcal{D}(ST)$.

Definition 1.12. [13] *Let $T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$. Then T is said to be*

(1) normal if $T^*T = TT^*$
(2) self-adjoint if $T^* = T$
(3) positive if $T = T^*$ and $\langle x|Tx\rangle \geq 0$, for all $x \in H$
(4) anti self-adjoint if $T^* = -T$
(5) unitary if $TT^* = T^*T = I$.

Definition 1.13. [13, Section 3.1] *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a Hilbert basis of H. Then the left multiplication induced by $\mathcal{N}$ is a map $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}}\colon \mathbb{H} \to \mathcal{B}(H)$ defined by*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}}(q) = L_q, \text{ for all } q \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Here $L_q(x) = q \cdot x := \sum\limits_{z \in \mathcal{N}} z \cdot q \, \langle z|x\rangle$, for every $x \in H$.

Definition 1.14. [13, Section 3.1] *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a Hilbert basis of H. Then the left multiplication induced by $\mathcal{N}$ is given by a map $(q, x) \in \mathbb{H} \times H \mapsto q \cdot x \in H$ defined by*

$$q \cdot x = \sum_{z \in \mathcal{N}} z \cdot q \, \langle z|x\rangle \,, \text{ for every } q \in \mathbb{H} \text{ and } x \in H. \tag{2}$$

As a consequence the map $L_q\colon H \to H$ defined by

$$L_q(x) := q \cdot x, \text{ for all } x \in H$$

is bounded. Moreover, $L_q^* = L_{\overline{q}}$ and $\|L_q\| = |q|$.

Note 1.15. *By using the Definition 1.14, we see that L_q is anti self-adjoint and unitary if and only if $q \in \mathbb{S}$. That is*

$$\begin{aligned} L_q^* = -L_q \text{ and } L_q^* L_q = L_q L_q^* = I &\Leftrightarrow L_{\overline{q}} = L_q \text{ and } L_{|q|^2} = I \\ &\Leftrightarrow \overline{q} = -q \text{ and } |q| = 1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow q \in \mathbb{S}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.16. [13, Lemma 4.1] *Let $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle : H \times H \to \mathbb{H}$ be an inner product on H and $m \in \mathbb{S}$. Let J be an anti self-adjoint unitary operator on H. Define*

$$H_{\pm}^{Jm} = \{x \in H : J(x) = \pm x \cdot m\}.$$

Then

1. *$H_{\pm}^{Jm} \neq \{0\}$ and the restriction of the inner product $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$ to $H_{\pm}^{Jm}$ is $\mathbb{C}_m$- valued. Therefore $H_{\pm}^{Jm}$ is $\mathbb{C}_m-$ Hilbert space, called the slice Hilbert space of H*
2. *$H = H_+^{Jm} \oplus H_-^{Jm}$.*

Remark 1.17. *For $m \in \mathbb{S}$, if $\mathcal{N}$ is a Hilbert basis of H_+^{Jm}, then $\mathcal{N}$ is also a Hilbert basis for H and it holds:*

$$J(x) = \sum_{z \in \mathcal{N}} z \cdot m \langle z|x\rangle, \text{ for all } x \in H.$$

That is $J = L_m$, the left multiplication induced by Hilbert basis $\mathcal{N}$ of H(see [13, Proposition 3.8(f)] *for details).*

Definition 1.18. *Let $T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ and $S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then we say that S commute with T if $ST \subseteq TS$. In other words, $Sx \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ and $STx = TSx$, for all $x \in \mathcal{D}(T)$.*

We recall the notion of the spherical spectrum of a right $\mathbb{H}$- linear operator.

Spherical spectrum. [13, Definition 4.1] Let $T\colon \mathcal{D}(T) \to H$ be right $\mathbb{H}$-linear and $q \in \mathbb{H}$. Define $\Delta_q(T)\colon \mathcal{D}(T^2) \to H$ by

$$\Delta_q(T) := T^2 - T(q + \overline{q}) + I \cdot |q|^2.$$

The spherical resolvent of T is denoted by $\rho_S(T)$ and is the set of all $q \in \mathbb{H}$ satisfying the following three properties:

(1) $N(\Delta_q(T)) = \{0\}$
(2) $R(\Delta_q(T))$ is dense in H
(3) $\Delta_q(T)^{-1}\colon R(\Delta_q(T)) \to \mathcal{D}(T^2)$ is bounded.

The spherical spectrum of T is defined by $\sigma_S(T) = \mathbb{H} \setminus \rho_S(T)$.

Recall that every linear operator in a slice Hilbert space can be extended uniquely to a right linear operator in quaternionic Hilbert space. Also the converse is true under certain conditions.

Proposition 1.19. [13, Proposition 3.11] *For every $\mathbb{C}_m$- linear operator $T\colon \mathcal{D}(T) \subset H_+^{Jm} \to H_+^{Jm}$ there exists a unique right $\mathbb{H}-$ linear operator $\widetilde{T}\colon \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{T}) \subset H \to H$ such that $\mathcal{D}(\widetilde{T}) \bigcap H_+^{Jm} = \mathcal{D}(T)$, $J(\mathcal{D}(\widetilde{T})) \subset \mathcal{D}(\widetilde{T})$ and $\widetilde{T}(u) = T(u)$, for every $u \in H_+^{Jm}$. Moreover, the following facts holds:*

(1) If $T \in \mathcal{B}(H_+^{Jm})$, then $\widetilde{T} \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\|\widetilde{T}\| = \|T\|$

(2) $J\widetilde{T} = \widetilde{T}J$.

On the other hand, let $V\colon \mathcal{D}(V) \to H$ be a right linear operator. Then $V = \widetilde{U}$, for a unique $\mathbb{C}_m-$ linear operator $U\colon \mathcal{D}(V) \bigcap H_+^{Jm} \to H_+^{Jm}$ if and only if $J(\mathcal{D}(V)) \subset \mathcal{D}(V)$ and $JV = VJ$.

Furthermore,

(1) If $\overline{\mathcal{D}(T)} = H_+^{Jm}$, then $\overline{\mathcal{D}(\widetilde{T})} = H$ and $\left(\widetilde{T}\right)^* = \widetilde{T^*}$

(2) If $S\colon \mathcal{D}(S) \subset H_+^{Jm} \to H_+^{Jm}$ is $\mathbb{C}_m$- linear, then $\widetilde{S}\widetilde{T} = \widetilde{S}\widetilde{T}$

(3) If S is the inverse of T, then $\widetilde{S}$ is the inverse of $\widetilde{T}$.

Remark 1.20. *In particular, if $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is non self-adjoint normal operator, then there exist an anti self-adjoint and unitary $J \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $TJ = JT$ (see* [13, Theorem 5.9]*), where as if $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is self-adjoint, existence of such J is given in* [13, Theorem 5.7(b)]*. In case, if $T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ is normal, existence of a anti self-adjoint unitary operator $J \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $JT \subseteq TJ$ is proved in* [18, Theorem 5.6]*.*

2. Continuous functional calculus

In this section we give an approach to continuous functional calculus for quaternionic operators.

Recall that the circulization of a non empty set $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathbb{C}_m$ is given by

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{K}} = \{\alpha + j\beta : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha + m\beta \in \mathcal{K}. j \in \mathbb{S}\}.$$

Let

$$C(\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}, \mathbb{H}) = \{f\colon \Omega_{\mathcal{K}} \to \mathbb{H} : f \text{ is continuous }\}.$$

It is a real algebra with the addition and the multiplication given by

$$(f+g)(q) = f(q) + g(q) \text{ and } (f \cdot g)(q) = f(q)g(q)$$

for all $f, g \in C(\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}, \mathbb{H})$ and $q \in \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}$. The scalar multiplication is given by

$$(rf)(q) = rf(q) \text{ and } (fr)(q) = f(q)r, \text{ for all } f \in C(\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}, \mathbb{H}), r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We denote the conjugate of f by $\overline{f}$ and it is defined by

$$\overline{f}(q) = \overline{f(q)}, \text{ for all } q \in \mathbb{H}.$$

We define a subclass of continuous functions on $\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Define

$$C_{\mathbb{C}_m}(\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}, \mathbb{H}) = \{f \in C(\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}, \mathbb{H}) : f(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_m\}.$$

It is immediate to see that $C_{\mathbb{C}_m}(\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}, \mathbb{H})$ is real sub algebra of $C(\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}, \mathbb{H})$. If $f \in C(\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}, \mathbb{H})$ is bounded, then we define

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup\{|f(q)| : q \in \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_m$. If $f \in C_{\mathbb{C}_m}(\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}, \mathbb{H})$, then the map $f_+ \colon \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{C}_m$ defined by*

$$f_+(z) = f(z), \text{ for all } z \in \mathcal{K}$$

is continuous.

Proof. We show that f_+ is well defined. Let $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ be such that $z_1 = z_2$. Then by the definition of f_+, we have

$$f_+(z_1) = f(z_1) = f(z_2) = f_+(z_2).$$

Now we show that f_+ is continuous. Let $(z_\ell) \subset \mathcal{K}$ be sequence such that (z_ℓ) converges to z_0 in $\mathcal{K}$, as $\ell \to \infty$. Since $\mathcal{K} \subset \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}$ and f is continuous, we have

$$f_+(z_\ell) = f(z_\ell) \longrightarrow f(z_0) = f_+(z_0),$$

as $\ell \to \infty$. This shows that f_+ is continuous. □

Remark 2.2. *Let $m \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_m$. Then $C(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{C}_m)$ denote the class of $\mathbb{C}_m$- valued continuous functions on $\mathcal{K}$. By Lemma 2.1, if $f \in C_{\mathbb{C}_m}(\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}, \mathbb{C}_m)$, then $f_+ \in C(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{C}_m)$.*

Analogous to the complex Hilbert spaces, the bounded transform of right linear operator in quaternionic Hilbert spaces is defined.

Theorem 2.3. [4, Theorem 6.1] *Let $T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$. Then the bounded transform of T is denoted by $\mathcal{Z}_T$, it is given by*

$$\mathcal{Z}_T := T(I + T^*T)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

and satisfies the following properties:

(1) $\mathcal{Z}_T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $\|\mathcal{Z}_T\| \leq 1$ and $T = \mathcal{Z}_T(I - \mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$
(2) $\big(\mathcal{Z}_T\big)^* = \mathcal{Z}_{T^*}$
(3) If T is normal, then $\mathcal{Z}_T$ is normal.

We need the following theorem to establish the functional calculus for $C_{\mathbb{C}_m}(\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}, \mathbb{H})$, for $m \in \mathbb{S}$. Though this result is proved in [18, Theorem 5.6], for the sake of completeness we give details here.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ be normal with the domain $\mathcal{D}(T) \subseteq H$. Then there exists an anti self-adjoint and unitary operator J on H such that $JT \subseteq TJ$.*

Proof. Since T is normal, then $\mathcal{Z}_T$ is bounded normal by Theorem 2.3. By Remark 1.20, there exist an anti self-adjoint and unitary $J \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that

$$J\mathcal{Z}_T = \mathcal{Z}_T J \text{ and } J\mathcal{Z}_T^* = \mathcal{Z}_T^* J.$$

This implies $J(I-\mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T) = (I-\mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)J$. By the property of square root of bounded positive operator in quaternionic Hilbert space (See [13, Theorem 2.8] for details), we have

$$J(I - \mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (I - \mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)^{\frac{1}{2}} J. \tag{3}$$

Now we show that J commutes with $(I - \mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Here $(I - \mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is an unbounded operator with the domain,

$$\mathcal{D}((I - \mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = R((I - \mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Let $x \in \mathcal{D}((I - \mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. Then $x = (I - \mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)^{\frac{1}{2}} y$, for some $y \in \mathcal{D}((I - \mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)^{\frac{1}{2}})$. By Equation (3), we have

$$Jx = J(I - \mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)^{\frac{1}{2}} y = (I - \mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)^{\frac{1}{2}} Jy \in R((I - \mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

This implies $Jx \in \mathcal{D}((I - \mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. Moreover,

$$J(I - \mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x = Jy = (I - \mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} Jx.$$

It is enough to show that $JT \subseteq TJ$. Since $\mathcal{D}(T) = \mathcal{D}((I - \mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, we see that $Jx \in \mathcal{D}(T)$, for every $x \in \mathcal{D}(T)$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} JTx = J\mathcal{Z}_T(I - \mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x &= \mathcal{Z}_T J(I - \mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x \\ &= \mathcal{Z}_T(I - \mathcal{Z}_T^*\mathcal{Z}_T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} Jx \\ &= TJx, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{D}(T)$. Therefore $JT \subseteq TJ$. □

Note 2.5. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{S}$ with $mn = -nm$ and $T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ be normal. By Theorem 2.4, there exists an anti self-adjoint and unitary operator $J \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $JT \subseteq TJ$. Moreover, by Theorem 1.19, there exist a unique $\mathbb{C}_m$-linear operator $T_+ \colon \mathcal{D}(T) \cap H_+^{Jm} \to H_+^{Jm}$ such that $T = \widetilde{T_+}$.*

If $x \in \mathcal{D}(T)$, then there exist $x_1, x_2 \cdot n \in \mathcal{D}(T_+)$ such that $x = x_1 + x_2$ and

$$T(x) = T_+(x_1) - T_+(x_2 \cdot n) \cdot n.$$

Throughout this article, T_+ denote the $\mathbb{C}_m$- linear operator corresponding to T such that $T = \widetilde{T_+}$.

Remark 2.6. *Let $T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ be normal and T_+ be as in Note 2.5. Then by* [13, Proposition 5.11(d)]*, we have*

$$\sigma_S(T) = \Omega_{\sigma(T_+)}.$$

We refer [16, Definition 4] for the functional calculus in complex Hilbert spaces.

Definition 2.7. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{S}$ with $mn = -nm$. Let $T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ be normal and T_+ be $\mathbb{C}_m$- linear operator associated to T as in Note 2.5. If $f \in C_{\mathbb{C}_m}(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{H})$, then by Lemma 2.1, $f_+ := f|_{\sigma(T_+)}$ is continuous. We define*

$$f(T) := \widetilde{f_+(T_+)}. \tag{4}$$

Since f_+ is continuous $\mathbb{C}_m$- valued function on $\sigma(T_+)$, by continuous functional calculus in complex Hilbert spaces, the operator $f_+(T_+)$ is normal. Being the unique extension of $f_+(T_+)$, the operator $f(T)$ is normal.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ be normal and $m \in \mathbb{S}$. If $f \in C_{\mathbb{C}_m}(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{H})$, then $f(T)^* = \overline{f}(T)$.*

Proof. By Definition 2.7, we have

$$f(T)^* = \widetilde{f_+(T_+)^*} = \widetilde{\overline{f_+}(T_+)} = \overline{f}(T). \qquad \square$$

Remark 2.9. *Let $T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ be normal and $m \in \mathbb{S}$. If $f \in C_{\mathbb{C}_m}(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{H})$ is bounded then by Weierstrass approximation theorem there exist a sequence of polynomials (p_ℓ) with coefficients from $\mathbb{C}_m$ such that $\|p_\ell - f_+\|_\infty \longrightarrow 0$, as $\ell \to \infty$. In fact, $f_+(T_+)$ is defined by*

$$f_+(T_+) := \lim_{\ell \to \infty} p_\ell(T_+)$$

This implies that

$$f(T) := \lim_{\ell \to \infty} p_\ell(\widetilde{T_+}) = \lim_{\ell \to \infty} p_\ell(T).$$

Now we generalize functional calculus to the class of all quaternion valued continuous functions.

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{S}$ with $mn = -nm$. If $q \in \mathbb{H}$, then

$$q = \frac{1}{2}(q + \overline{q}) + \frac{1}{2}(q + m\overline{q}m) + \frac{1}{2}(q + n\overline{q}n) + \frac{1}{2}(q + mn\overline{q}mn).$$

Equivalently, we can write q as follows:

$$q = \frac{1}{2}(q + \overline{q}) - \frac{1}{2}(qm + \overline{qm})m - \frac{1}{2}(qn + \overline{qn})n - \frac{1}{2}(qmn + \overline{qmn})mn. \tag{5}$$

Let $f \in C(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{H})$ and $q \in \sigma_S(T)$. In analogous with representation of q in Equation (5), we represent f as follows:

Define

$$f_0(q) = \frac{1}{2}(f(q) + \overline{f(q)}), \;\; f_1(q) = -\frac{1}{2}(f(q)m + \overline{f(q)m}),$$

$$f_2(q) = -\frac{1}{2}(f(q) + \overline{f(q)n}) \text{ and } f_3(q) = -\frac{1}{2}(f(q) + \overline{f(q)mn}).$$

Since f is continuous, it is clear that $f_\ell \in C(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{R})$, for $\ell = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Moreover, f is uniquely expressed as

$$f(q) = f_0(q) + f_1(q)m + f_2(q)n + f_3(q)mn, \text{ for all } q \in \sigma_S(T). \tag{6}$$

Lemma 2.10. *Let $T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ be normal and $m, n \in \mathbb{S}$ with $mn = -nm$. If $f \in C(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{H})$, then there exist unique $F_1, F_2 \in C(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{C}_m)$ such that*

$$f(q) = F_1(q) + F_2(q) \cdot n, \text{ for all } q \in \sigma_S(T). \tag{7}$$

Proof. Since $f \in C(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{H})$, then by Equation(6), there exist unique $f_\ell \in C(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{R})$, where $\ell = 0, 1, 2, 3$ such that

$$f(q) = f_0(q) + f_1(q)m + f_2(q)n + f_3mn, \text{ for all } q \in \sigma_S(T).$$

Define F_1, F_2 on $\sigma_S(T)$ by

$$F_1(q) = f_0(q) + f_1(q)m \ ; \ F_2(q) = f_2(q) + f_3(q)m, \text{ for all } q \in \sigma_S(T).$$

Since $f_\ell \in C(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{R})$, we have $F_1, F_2 \in C(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{C}_m)$. Moreover,

$$f(q) = F_1(q) + F_2(q) \cdot n, \text{ for all } q \in \sigma_S(T).$$

Let $G_1, G_2 \in C(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{C}_m)$ be such that $f(q) = G_1(q) + G_2(q) \cdot n$, for all $q \in \sigma_S(T)$. Then

$$[F_1(q) - G_1(q)] + [F_2(q) - G_2(q)] \cdot n = 0, \text{ for all } q \in \sigma_S(T).$$

This implies that $F_1(q) = G_1(q)$ and $F_2(q) = G_2(q)$. Hence the decomposition of f as in Equation (7) is unique. □

Note 2.11. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{S}$ with $mn = -nm$. Then there exists scalar multiplication $q \to L_q$ (See Definition 1.14 for details) such that $J = L_m$. Define $J' = L_n$. Then $J' \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is anti self-adjoint and unitary such that $JJ' = -J'J$.*

Definition 2.12. *Let $T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ be normal and $m, n \in \mathbb{S}$ with $mn = -nm$. Let T_+ be $\mathbb{C}_m$- linear operator as in Note 2.5. If $f \in C(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{H})$, then by Lemma 2.10, there exist a unique $F_1, F_2 \in C(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{C}_m)$ such that $f(q) = F_1(q) + F_2(q) \cdot n$, for all $q \in \mathbb{H}$. Define*

$$f(T) = F_1(T) + F_2(T)J'. \tag{8}$$

Since $F_1, F_2 \in C(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{C}_m) \subseteq C_{\mathbb{C}_m}((\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{H}))$, the operators $F_1(T)$ and $F_2(T)$ are defined as in Lemma (2.10).

Lemma 2.13. *Let $T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ be normal and $m \in \mathbb{S}$. If $f \in C(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{H})$, then $f(T)J' = J'f(T)^*$, where J' is same as in Note 2.11.*

Proof. Define $g \colon \sigma_S(T) \to \mathbb{H}$ by

$$g(q) = f(q) \cdot n, \text{ for all } q \in \sigma_S(T).$$

By Definition 2.12, we have $g(T) = f(T)J'$.

Since $mn = -nm$, we write $g(q) = n \cdot \overline{f(q)}$. This implies that $g(T) = J'f(T)^*$. Therefore $f(T)J' = J'f(T)^*$.

□

It is worth to note that our method works for non slice continuous functions also. Here we illustrate this fact. We recall the definition of slice function.

Let $m \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be a non-empty subset of $\mathbb{C}_m$. The complexification of $\mathbb{H}$ is given by

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}_m} := \mathbb{H} \oplus_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}_m.$$

If $w \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}_m}$, then $w = q + mp$, for some $q, p \in \mathbb{H}$.

Definition 2.14. [13, Defination 6.1] *Let $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}_m}$ be a map and $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2 \colon \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{H}$ be components of $\mathcal{S}$ that is $\mathcal{S}(z) = \mathcal{S}_1(z) + m\mathcal{S}_2(z)$, for all $z \in \mathcal{K}$. We say that $\mathcal{S}$ is a stem function on $\mathcal{K}$ if $\mathcal{S}_1(\overline{z}) = \mathcal{S}_1(z)$ and $\mathcal{S}_2(\overline{z}) = -\mathcal{S}_2(z)$, for every $z \in \mathcal{K}$.*

If $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$ are continuous, then $\mathcal{S}$ is called continuous.

Definition 2.15. [13, Definition 6.3] *Each stem function $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_1 + i\mathcal{S}_2 \colon \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}_m}$ induces a slice function $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S}) \colon \Omega_{\mathcal{K}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}$ on $\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}$ as follows: if $q = \alpha + j\beta \in \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $j \in \mathbb{S}$, then*

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S})(q) := \mathcal{S}_1(z) + j\mathcal{S}_2(z), \text{ where } z = \alpha + i\beta \in \mathcal{K}.$$

If $\mathcal{S}$ is continuous, then $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S})$ is called continuous slice function on $\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Example 2.16. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{S}$ with $mn = -nm$ and $T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ be normal. Let $a \in \mathbb{H}$. Then $a = a_0 + a_1 m + a_2 n + a_3 mn$, for some $a_\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ where $\ell = 0, 1, 2, 3$.*

Define $f \colon \sigma_S(T) \to \mathbb{H}$ by

$$f(\alpha + j\beta) = (\alpha + m\beta)a + j(\alpha - m\beta), \text{ for all } \alpha + j\beta \in \sigma_S(T).$$

We show that f is not a slice function. Suppose f is a slice function. Then there exist a stem function $\mathcal{S}$ on $\sigma(T_+)$ such that

$$f(\alpha + j\beta) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S})(\alpha + j\beta) = \mathcal{S}_1(\alpha + m\beta) + j\mathcal{S}_2(\alpha + m\beta)$$

This implies that $\mathcal{S}_1(\alpha + m\beta) = (\alpha + m\beta)a$ and $\mathcal{S}_2(\alpha + m\beta) = (\alpha - m\beta)$. But

$$\mathcal{S}_1(\overline{\alpha + m\beta}) = (\alpha - m\beta)a \neq \mathcal{S}_1(\alpha + m\beta).$$

It shows that $\mathcal{S}$ is not a stem function. This is contradiction. Thus f is not a slice function.

Now we decompose f as in Lemma 2.10. Since $j \in \mathbb{S}$, we have $j = j_1 m + j_2 n + j_3 mn$, for some $j_\ell \in \mathbb{R}, \ell = 1, 2, 3$. Define F_1 and F_2 on $\sigma_S(T)$ by

$$F_1(\alpha + j\beta) = (\alpha + m\beta)(a_0 + a_1 m) + j_1 m(\alpha - m\beta),$$
$$F_2(\alpha + j\beta) = (\alpha + m\beta)(a_2 + a_3 m) + (j_2 + j_3 m)(\alpha + m\beta).$$

It is clear that $F_1, F_2 \in C(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{C}_m)$. Moreover,

$$f(\alpha + j\beta) = F_1(\alpha + j\beta) + F_2(\alpha + j\beta) \cdot n, \text{ for all } (\alpha + j\beta) \in \sigma_S(T).$$

By Definition 2.7, we have

$$F_1(T) = T \cdot (a_0 + a_1 m) + m \cdot T^* \text{ and } F_2(T) = T \cdot (a_2 + a_3 m).$$

Thus by using Definition 2.12 and Lemma 2.13, we write

$$\begin{aligned} f(T) &= F_1(T) + F_2(T)J' \\ &= T \cdot (a_0 + a_1 m) + m \cdot T^* + T \cdot (a_2 + a_3 m)J' \\ &= T \cdot (a_0 + a_1 m) + JT^* + T \cdot (a_2 + a_3 m) \cdot n \\ &= T \cdot a + JT^*. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.17. *Let $T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ be normal, $q \in \mathbb{H}$ and T_+ is the unique operator on H_+^{Jm} such that $\widetilde{T_+} = T$. Define $f\colon \sigma_S(T) \to \mathbb{H}$ by*

$$f(\alpha + j\beta) = e^{q(\alpha + j\beta)}, \text{ for all } \alpha + j\beta \in \sigma_S(T).$$

Here $f \in C(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{H})$ is bounded. Define

$$p_\ell(\alpha + j\beta) = \sum_{t=0}^{\ell} \frac{[q(\alpha + j\beta)]^t}{t!}, \text{ for all } \alpha + j\beta \in \sigma_S(T).$$

Then $f(\alpha + j\beta) = \lim_{t \to \infty} p_\ell(\alpha + j\beta)$.

We establish functional calculus for this function. Here we consider two cases.
Case (1): If $q \in \mathbb{R}$, then for any $m \in \mathbb{S}$ the function $f \in C_{\mathbb{C}_m}(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{H})$. By Remark 2.9, we have

$$f(T) = \lim_{\ell \to \infty} \widetilde{p_\ell(T_+)} = \lim_{\ell \to \infty} \sum_{t=0}^{\ell} \frac{(qT)^t}{t!} = e^{qT}.$$

Case (2): If $0 \neq q \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, then $q = a + mb$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m = \frac{im(q)}{|im(q)|} \in \mathbb{S}$. In fact, $f \in C_{\mathbb{C}_m}(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{H})$. By classical functional calculus, we have

$$f_+(T_+) = e^{(a+mb)T_+}.$$

By Definition 2.7, we have

$$f(T) = \widetilde{f_+(T_+)} = e^{(a+mb)T} = e^{qT}.$$

3. Integral Representation

In this section we establish integral representation of a normal operator (not necessarily bounded) in quaternionic Hilbert spaces.

The spectral theorem for unbounded normal operators in complex Hilbert spaces is proved in [16]. We recall the theorem for the case of complex valued continuous functions.

Theorem 3.1. [16, Theorem 7] *Let K be complex Hilbert space and $A\colon \mathcal{D}(A) \to K$ be densely defined and closed operator. If A is normal, then there exits a unique spectral measure E on the Borel subsets of $\mathbb{C}$ such that for $\xi \in H$,*

$\xi \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ if and only if $\int_{\mathbb{C}} |\lambda|^2 dE_{\xi,\xi}(\lambda) < \infty$, and for $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(A)$

$$\langle \xi | A\xi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \lambda \; dE_{\xi,\xi}(\lambda).$$

Furthermore

(1) For each bounded continuous function f on $\mathbb{C}$,

$$\langle \xi | f(A)\xi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(\lambda) \; dE_{\xi,\xi}(\lambda).$$

(2) For each unbounded continuous function f on $\mathbb{C}$,

$$\xi \in \mathcal{D}(f(A)) \text{ if and only if } \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(\lambda)|^2 \; dE_{\xi,\xi}(\lambda) < \infty,$$

and for $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(f(A))$,

$$\langle \xi | f(A)\xi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(\lambda) \; dE_{\xi,\xi}(\lambda).$$

(3) If B is bounded operator on K such that $BA \subseteq AB$, then $Bf(A) \subseteq f(A)B$, for every unbounded continuous function f on $\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, if f is bounded and continuous then $Bf(A) = f(A)B$. In particular, if $BA \subseteq AB$, then $BE(\omega) = E(\omega)B$, for every Borel subset ω of $\mathbb{C}$.

We define quaternionic projection valued measure based on [19, Definition 12.17].

Let $T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ be normal and $m \in \mathbb{S}$. Then by Theorem 2.4, there exists an anti self-adjoint and unitary $J \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $JT \subseteq TJ$ and a $\mathbb{C}_m$-linear, normal operator, say $T_+ \colon \mathcal{D}(T) \cap H_+^{Jm} \to H_+^{Jm}$ with $\widetilde{T_+} = T$ as in Proposition 1.19. Now we give the definition.

Definition 3.2. *Let $\Sigma_{\sigma(T_+)}$ be the σ- algebra of $\sigma(T_+)$. A quaternionic projection valued spectral measure on $\sigma(T_+)$ is a map $F \colon \Sigma_{\sigma(T_+)} \to \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that*

(1) $F(\omega)^* = F(\omega)$, for all $\omega \in \Sigma_{\sigma(T_+)}$.
(2) $F(\emptyset) = 0$ and $F(\sigma(T_+)) = I$.
(3) If $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Sigma_{\sigma(T_+)}$, then $F(\omega_1 \cap \omega_2) = F(\omega_1) \cdot F(\omega_2)$.
(4) For $x, y \in H$, the map $F_{x,y} \colon \Sigma_{\sigma(T_+)} \to \mathbb{H}$ given by

$$F_{x,y}(\omega) = \langle x | F(\omega) y \rangle \text{ , for all } \omega \in \Sigma_{\sigma(T_+)},$$

is $\mathbb{H}$- valued measure on $\sigma(T_+)$.

Note 3.3. *The measure defined in Definition 3.2 is also called qPVM (See* [14, Section 1.2] *for details).*

The meaning of the integral with respect to quaternionic projection valued measure is given by the following :

If $f\colon \sigma(T_+) \to \mathbb{H}$ is simple function, then $f = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} q_\ell \chi_{\omega_\ell}$, for some $q_\ell \in \mathbb{H}$ and $\omega_\ell \in \Sigma_{\sigma(T_+)}$. Moreover,

$$\int_{\sigma(T_+)} f dF = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} q_\ell F(\omega_\ell).$$

We give the meaning of the integral for general bounded measurable functions as in [14, Definition 3.11].

Definition 3.4. [14, Definition 3.11] *Given $\omega \in \Sigma_{\sigma(T_+)}$ and $f\colon \sigma(T_+) \to \mathbb{H}$ is bounded measurable, the integral of f with respect to F is the operator in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ defined by the following limit:*

$$\int_{\omega} f dF := \lim_{\ell\to\infty} \int_{\sigma(T_+)} f_\ell dF,$$

where $\{f_\ell\}_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}}$ is any sequence of simple functions on $\sigma(T_+)$ such that $\|f_\ell - \widetilde{f}\|_\infty \to 0$, as $\ell \to \infty$ and $\widetilde{f}$ extends f to the null function outside ω.

The extension of intergral to the class of quaternion valued unbounded measurable functions on $\sigma(T_+)$ is similar to [14, Proposition 5.1]. Now we prove the existence of quaternionic projection valued measure.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ be normal and $m, n \in \mathbb{S}$ with $mn = -nm$. Let T_+ be $\mathbb{C}_m$- linear operator in H_+^{Jm} associated to T as in Note 2.5. If E is the complex projection valued spectral measure on $\sigma(T_+)$, then the mapping $F\colon \sum_{\sigma(T_+)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ defined by*

$$F(\omega) = \widetilde{E(\omega)},$$

is a quaternion projection valued measure.

Proof. We show that F satisfies all the properties listed in Defintion 3.2. Since $E(\omega) \in \mathcal{B}(H_+^{Jm})$ is a projection, we see that $F(\omega) \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a projection, for every $\omega \in \sum_{\sigma(T_+)}$.

If $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \sum_{\sigma(T_+)}$, then

$$F(\omega_1 \cap \omega_2) = \widetilde{E(\omega_1 \cap \omega_2)} = \widetilde{E(\omega_1)}\widetilde{E(\omega_2)} = F(\omega_1)F(\omega_2).$$

Let $x, y \in H$. Then $x = x_1 + x_2 \cdot n$ and $y = y_1 + y_2 \cdot n$, for some $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in H_+^{Jm}$. If $\omega \in \sum_{\sigma(T_+)}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{x,y}(\omega) &= \langle x | F(\omega) y \rangle \\
&= \langle x_1 + x_2 \cdot n | E(\omega) y_1 + E(\omega) y_2 \cdot n \rangle \\
&= \langle x_1 | E(\omega) y_1 \rangle + \langle x_1 | E(\omega) y_2 \cdot n \rangle + \langle x_2 \cdot n | E(\omega) y_1 \rangle + \langle x_2 \cdot n | E(\omega) y_2 \cdot n \rangle \\
&= [E_{x_1,y_1}(\omega) + E_{y_2,x_2}(\omega)] + [E_{x_1,y_2}(\omega) - E_{y_1,x_2}(\omega)] \cdot n.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that for every $x, y \in H$, $F_{x,y}$ is $\mathbb{H}$- valued measure on $\sigma(T_+)$. $\square$

Lemma 3.6. *Let $T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ be normal and $m, n \in \mathbb{S}$ with $mn = -nm$. Let E be the complex projection valued spectral measure on $\sigma(T_+)$ as in Theorem 3.1. If $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}_m}(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{H})$, then*

$$\overline{n} \cdot \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} f_+ \, dE_{a,b} = - \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} \overline{f_+} \, dE_{b,a} \cdot n, \text{ for all } a, b \in \sigma(T_+).$$

Proof. Let f_+ be same as in Lemma 2.1. By the definition of integral in Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{n} \cdot \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} f_+ \, dE_{a,b} = -n \cdot \langle a | f_+(T_+) b \rangle &= - \langle f_+(T_+) b | a \rangle \cdot n \\ &= - \langle b | f_+(T_+)^* a \rangle \cdot n \\ &= - \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} \overline{f_+} \, dE_{b,a} \cdot n \end{aligned}$$ $\square$

Theorem 3.7. *Let $T \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ be normal and $m, n \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $mn = -nm$. Let T_+ be the unique $\mathbb{C}_m$- linear operator as in Note 2.5, then there exists a Hilbert basis $\mathcal{N}_m$ of H, anti self-adjoint and unitary operator $J \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and a unique quaternion projection valued spectral measure F on Borel subsets of $\sigma(T_+)$ such that*

(1) if $f \in C_{\mathbb{C}_m}(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{H})$, then $\mathcal{D}(f(T)) = \mathcal{D}(f_+(T_+)) \oplus \Phi(\mathcal{D}(f_+(T_+)))$, where

$$\mathcal{D}(f_+(T_+)) = \left\{ a \in H_+^{Jm} : \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} |f_+(\lambda)|^2 \, dE_{a,a}(\lambda) < \infty \right\},$$

and

$$\langle x | f(T) y \rangle = \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} f(\lambda) \, dF_{x,y}(\lambda), \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{D}(f(T)^*), y \in \mathcal{D}(f(T)). \tag{9}$$

(2) If $f \in C(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{H})$, then there exist $F_1, F_2 \in C(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{C}_m)$ such that $f(q) = F_1(q) + F_2(q) \cdot n$, for all $q \in \sigma_S(T)$. Moreover,

$$\langle x | f(T) y \rangle = \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} F_1(\lambda) \, dF_{x,y}(\lambda) + \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} F_2(\lambda) \, dF_{x,n \cdot y}(\lambda),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{D}(f(T)^*), y \in \mathcal{D}(f(T))$.

(3) If $S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is bounded such that $ST \subseteq TS$ and $ST^* \subseteq T^*S$, then
- *(a) $Sf(T) \subseteq f(T)S$, for every $f \in C_{\mathbb{C}_m}(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{H})$*
- *(b) If $f \in C_{\mathbb{C}_m}(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{H})$ is bounded, then $Sf(T) = f(T)S$*
- *(c) $SF(\omega) = F(\omega)S$, for every Borel set ω of $\sigma(T_+)$.*

The above representation of $f(T)$ is established with respect to the Hilbert basis $\mathcal{N}_m$.

Proof. Proof of *(1):* By Equation(4), we know that $f(T)$ is the unique extension of $f_+(T_+)$. So the domain of $f(T)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{D}(f(T)) = \mathcal{D}(f_+(T_+)) + \Phi(\mathcal{D}(f_+(T_+))).$$

Since $f_+ := f|_{\sigma(T_+)} \colon \sigma(T_+) \to \mathbb{C}_m$ is continuous, by Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\mathcal{D}(f_+(T_+)) = \left\{ a \in H_+^{Jm} : \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} |f_+(\lambda)|^2 \, dE_{a,a}(\lambda) < \infty \right\}.$$

Let $y \in \mathcal{D}(f(T)), x \in \mathcal{D}(f(T)^*)$. Then $y = y_1 + y_2 \cdot n$ and $x = x_1 + x_2 \cdot n$, for some $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{D}(f_+(T_+)), x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{D}(f_+(T_+)^*)$. If $f(T)$ is expressed with respect to the Hilbert basis $\mathcal{N}_m$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
f(T)(x) &= f(T)\big(\sum_{z \in \mathcal{N}_m} z \cdot \langle z | x_1 + x_2 \cdot n \rangle \big) \\
&= \sum_{z \in \mathcal{N}_m} f(T)(z) \, \langle z | x_1 \rangle + \sum_{z \in \mathcal{N}_m} f(T)(z) \, \langle z | x_2 \rangle \cdot n \\
&= \sum_{z \in \mathcal{N}_m} f_+(T_+)(z) \, \langle z | x_1 \rangle - \sum_{z \in \mathcal{N}_m} f_+(T_+)(z) \, \langle z | x_2 \cdot n \rangle \cdot n \\
&= f_+(T_+)\big(\sum_{z \in \mathcal{N}_m} z \, \langle z | x_1 \rangle \big) + f_+(T_+)\big(\sum_{z \in \mathcal{N}_m} z \, \langle z | x_2 \rangle \big) \cdot n \\
&= f_+(T_+)(x_1) + f_+(T_+)(x_2) \cdot n.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for every $\omega \in \sum_{\sigma(T_+)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{\omega} dF_{x,y}(\lambda) \\
&= \langle x | F(\omega) y \rangle \\
&= \langle x_1 + x_2 \cdot n | E(\omega) y_1 + E(\omega) y_2 \cdot n \rangle \\
&= \langle x_1 | E(\omega) y_1 \rangle + \langle x_1 | E(\omega) y_2 \rangle \cdot n + \overline{n} \ \langle x_2 | E(\omega) y_1 \rangle + \overline{n} \, \langle x_2 | E(\omega) y_2 \rangle \cdot n \\
&= \int\limits_{\omega} dE_{x_1,y_1}(\lambda) + \int\limits_{\omega} dE_{x_1,y_2}(\lambda) \cdot n + \overline{n} \int\limits_{\omega} dE_{x_2,y_1}(\lambda) + \overline{n} \int\limits_{\omega} dE_{x_2,y_2}(\lambda) \cdot n.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} f(\lambda) \, dF_{x,y}(\lambda) = &\int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} f_+(\lambda) \, dE_{x_1,y_1}(\lambda) + \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} f_+(\lambda) \, dE_{x_1,y_2}(\lambda) \cdot n \\
&+ \overline{n} \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} f_+(\lambda) \, dE_{x_2,y_1}(\lambda) + \overline{n} \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} f_+(\lambda) \, dE_{x_2,y_2}(\lambda) \cdot n.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} f(\lambda)\, dF_{x,y}(\lambda) &= \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} f_+(\lambda)\, dE_{x_1,y_1}(\lambda) + \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} f_+(\lambda)\, dE_{x_1,y_2}(\lambda)\cdot n \\ &\quad - \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} \overline{f_+}(\lambda)\, dE_{y_1,x_2}\cdot n + \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} \overline{f_+}(\lambda)\, dE_{y_2,x_2} \\ &= \langle x_1|f_+(T_+)y_1\rangle + \langle x_1|f_+(T_+)y_2\rangle\cdot n - \langle y_1|f_+(T_+)^*x_2\rangle\cdot n \\ &\quad + \langle y_2|f_+(T_+)^*x_2\rangle \\ &= \langle x_1|f_+(T_+)y_1\rangle + \langle x_1|f_+(T_+)y_2\rangle\cdot n + \overline{n}\,\langle x_2|f_+(T_+)y_1\rangle \\ &\quad + \overline{n}\,\langle x_2|f_+(T_+)y_2\rangle\cdot n \\ &= \left\langle x_1|\widetilde{f_+(T_+)}y\right\rangle + \left\langle x_2\cdot n|\widetilde{f_+(T_+)}y\right\rangle \\ &= \langle x|f(T)y\rangle\,.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\langle x|f(T)y\rangle = \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} f(\lambda)\, dF_{x,y}(\lambda).$$

Proof of *(2):* If $f \in C(\sigma_S(T),\mathbb{H})$, then by Lemma 2.10, there exist $F_1, F_2 \in C(\sigma_S(T),\mathbb{C}_m)$ such that $f(q) = F_1(q) + F_2(q)\cdot n$, for all $q \in \sigma_S(T)$. By Equation (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x|f(T)y\rangle &= \langle x|F_1(T)y\rangle + \left\langle x|F_2(T)J'y\right\rangle \\ &= \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} F_1(\lambda)\, dF_{x,y}(\lambda) + \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} F_2(\lambda)\, dF_{x,J'y}(\lambda) \\ &= \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} F_1(\lambda) dF_{x,y}(\lambda) + \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} F_2(\lambda)\, dF_{x,n\cdot y}(\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

Proof of *(3)(a):* Since S commutes with both T and T^*, then S commutes with $T-T^*$, so by the construction of J in [13, Theorem 5.9], it is clear that J commutes with S. There exists a $\mathbb{C}_m$- linear operator S_+ with domain $\mathcal{D}(S_+) \subseteq H_+^{Jm}$ such that $S = \widetilde{S_+}$. Let $f \in C_{\mathbb{C}_m}(\sigma_S(T),\mathbb{H})$. Since $S_+T_+ \subseteq T_+S_+$, by Theorem 3.1(3), we see that $S_+f_+(T_+) \subseteq f_+(T_+)S_+$. By Theorem 1.19, we have

$$Sf(T) \subseteq f(T)S.$$

Proof of *(3)(b):* If $f \in C_{\mathbb{C}_m}(\sigma_S(T),\mathbb{H})$ is bounded, by Theorem 3.1(3), we have $S_+f_+(T_+) = f_+(T_+)S_+$. This implies that

$$Sf(T) = f(T)S.$$

Proof of *(3)(c):* It is clear from Theorem 3.1(3) that $S_+E(\omega) = E(\omega)S_+$, for every Borel subset ω of $\sigma(T_+)$. Since $F(\omega) = \widetilde{E(\omega)}$, we have

$$SF(\omega) = \widetilde{S_+}\widetilde{E(\omega)} = \widetilde{S_+E(\omega)} = \widetilde{E(\omega)S_+} = F(\omega)S. \tag{10}$$

Now we show the uniqueness. Suppose F and G are quaternionic projection valued spectral measures satisfying Equation(9). Since J commutes with both T and T^*, by Equation(10), we have

$$F(\omega)J = JF(\omega)\ ;\ JG(\omega) = G(\omega)J, \text{ for all } \omega \in \Sigma_{\sigma(T_+)}.$$

By Proposition 1.19, for every $\omega \in \Sigma_{\sigma(T_+)}$, there exist a unique $\mathbb{C}_m$- linear operators $F(\omega)_+$ and $G(\omega)_+$ on H_+^{Jm} such that $F(\omega) = \widetilde{F(\omega)_+}$ and $G(\omega) = \widetilde{G(\omega)_+}$.

Define F_+ and G_+ on $\Sigma_{\sigma(T_+)}$ by

$$F_+(\omega) = F(\omega)_+ \text{ and } G_+(\omega) = G(\omega)_+$$

respectively. By the Proposition 1.19, Both F_+ and G_+ are complex projection valued spectral measures. If $a \in \mathcal{D}(f_+(T_+)^*), b \in \mathcal{D}(f_+(T_+))$, then

$$\langle a|f_+(T_+)b\rangle = \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} f_+(\lambda)\ dF_{+_{a,b}}(\lambda) = \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} f_+(\lambda)\ dG_{+_{a,b}}(\lambda).$$

By Theorem 3.1, $F_+ = G_+$. This imples

$$F(\omega) = \widetilde{F_+(\omega)} = \widetilde{G_+(\omega)} = G(\omega), \text{ for all } \omega \in \Sigma_{\sigma(T_+)}. \qquad \square$$

As a consequence we obtain the integral representation of a normal operator. This result can be found in [4]. A different proof of this result is available in [4, Theorem 6.2].

Theorem 3.8. *Let T and T_+ be as in Theorem 3.7. Then There exists a Hilbert basis $\mathcal{N}_m$ of H, anti self-adjoint and unitary operator $J \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and a unique quaternionic projection valued spectral measure F on Borel subsets of $\sigma(T_+)$, where T_+ is $\mathbb{C}_m$ linear operator in H_+^{Jm} as in Note 2.5 such that*

$$\langle x|Ty\rangle = \int\limits_{\sigma_S(T)\cap\mathbb{C}_m^+} \lambda\ dF_{x,y}(\lambda), \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{D}(T^*), y \in \mathcal{D}(T).$$

The above representation of T is established with respect to the Hilbert basis $\mathcal{N}_m$. Furthermore, if $S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $ST \subseteq TS$ and $ST^* \subseteq T^*S$, then $SF(\omega) = F(\omega)S$, for all ω.

Proof. Define $f\colon \sigma(T_+) \to \mathbb{H}$ by

$$f(\lambda) = \lambda, \text{ for all } \lambda \in \sigma(T_+).$$

Clearly, $f \in C_{\mathbb{C}_m}(\sigma_S(T), \mathbb{H})$. Then by Theorem 3.7, there exists a Hilbert basis $\mathcal{N}_m$ of H and a unique quaternionic projection valued spectral measure F on Borel subsets of $\sigma(T_+)$ such that

$$\langle x|f(T)y\rangle = \langle x|Ty\rangle = \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} \lambda\ dF_{x,y}(\lambda),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{D}(T^*), y \in \mathcal{D}(T)$. Since $\sigma(T_+) = \sigma_S(T) \cap \mathbb{C}_m^+$(see [13, Corollary 5.13] for details), we have

$$\langle x|Ty\rangle = \int\limits_{\sigma(T_+)} \lambda \, dF_{x,y}(\lambda), \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{D}(T^*), y \in \mathcal{D}(T).$$

□

Acknowledgment

The second author is thankful to INSPIRE (DST) for the support in the form of fellowship (No. DST/ INSPIRE Fellowship/2012/IF120551), Govt of India.

References

[1] S. L. Adler, *Quaternionic quantum mechanics and quantum fields*, International Series of Monographs on Physics, 88, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1995.
[2] S. N. Agrawal and S. H. Kulkarni, *A spectral theorem for a normal operator on a real Hilbert space*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **59** (1994).
[3] D. Alpay et al., *The spectral theorem for unitary operators based on the S-spectrum*, Milan J. Math. **84** (2016), no. 1, 41–61.
[4] D. Alpay, F. Colombo and D. P. Kimsey, *The spectral theorem for quaternionic unbounded normal operators based on the S-spectrum*, J. Math. Phys. **57** (2016), no. 2, 023503, 27 pp.
[5] D. Alpay et al., *The H^∞ functional calculus based on the S-spectrum for quaternionic operators and for n-tuples of noncommuting operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **271** (2016), no. 6, 1544–1584.
[6] G. Birkhoff and J. von Neumann, *The logic of quantum mechanics*, Ann. of Math. (2) **37** (1936), no. 4, 823–843.
[7] G. Emch, *Mécanique quantique quaternionienne et relativité restreinte*. I, Helv. Phys. Acta **36** (1963), 739–769.
[8] G. Emch, *Mécanique quantique quaternionienne et relativit restreinte*. II. Helv. Phys. Acta 36 1963 770788.
[9] D. Finkelstein et al., *Foundations of quaternion quantum mechanics*, J. Mathematical Phys. **3** (1962), 207–220.
[10] J. Gantner, *A direct approach to the S-functional calculus for closed operators*, J. Operator Theory.
[11] G. Gentili, D.C. Struppa, *A new approach to Cullen-regular functions of a quaternionic variable*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 342 (2006), 741744.
[12] G. Gentili and D. C. Struppa. *A new theory of regular functions of a quaternionic variable.*
[13] R. Ghiloni, V. Moretti and A. Perotti, *Continuous slice functional calculus in quaternionic Hilbert spaces*, Rev. Math. Phys. **25** (2013).
[14] R. Ghiloni, V. Moretti and A. Perotti, *Spectral representations of normal operators via Intertwining Quaternionic Projection Valued Measures*, (arXiv:1602.02661).
[15] Horwitz, L. P, Biedenharn, L. C. *Quaternion quantum mechanics: second quantization and gauge fields*. Ann. Physics 157 (1984), no. 2, 432488.
[16] F. C. Paliogiannis, *Some remarks on the spectral theory and commutativity of unbounded normal operators*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **8** (2014).
[17] F. C. Paliogiannis, *The algebra of unbounded continuous functions on a Stonean space and unbounded operators*, Michigan Math. J. **46** (1999).

[18] G. Ramesh and P. Santhosh Kumar, *Spectral theorem for normal operators in quaternionic Hilbert spaces: Multiplication form* (arXiv:1603.00697).
[19] W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, second edition, International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1991.
[20] C. S. Sharma and T. J. Coulson, *Spectral theory for unitary operators on a quaternionic Hilbert space*, J. Math. Phys. **28** (1987).
[21] K. Viswanath, *Normal operations on quaternionic Hilbert spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **162** (1971).143–154. MR1835639 (2002g:46036).

G. Ramesh, Department of Mathematics, Kandi, Sangareddy, Medak, Telangana, India 502285.
E-mail address: rameshg@iith.ac.in

P. Santhosh Kumar, Department of Mathematics, Kandi, Sangareddy, Medak, Telangana, India 502285.
E-mail address: ma12p1004@iith.ac.in